



Animal &  
Plant Health  
Agency

**Livestock Demographic Data  
Group:  
Goat population report  
Livestock population density maps  
for GB 2018**



© Crown copyright 2018

You may re-use this information (excluding logos) free of charge in any format or medium, under the terms of the Open Government Licence v.3. To view this licence visit [www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/](http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/) or email [PSI@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:PSI@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk)

Document information	
LDDG	Goats
Report reference	GP17/18
Contributors	Department of Epidemiological Sciences, APHA Epidemiology and Risk Policy Advice team, APHA Small Ruminants Expert Group, Surveillance Intelligence Unit, APHA Science Strategy and Planning Group, APHA
Contacts for queries	<a href="mailto:lddg@apha.gsi.gov.uk">lddg@apha.gsi.gov.uk</a>
Data source	Sheep and Goat Inventory / RADAR
Data year	Dec 2016 for England, Jan 2017 for Wales and Scotland

## Contents

Who are these reports for? .....	1
Who did this work? .....	1
What do the data show about the population?.....	1
How accurate are the data? .....	1
What do the data not show? .....	2
How were the maps produced? .....	2
Annex 1: Data quality statement for goats (March 2018).....	5
Introduction .....	5
Overview of source data used.....	5
Overview and purpose of the source data.....	5

## Who are these reports for?

These reports are suitable for use in animal health and welfare policy work which requires an estimate of the distribution and size of the goat population at GB level. This type of population level information is often required to assess the economic or social impact of particular animal health policies, for contingency and resource planning, or to provide evidence to trading partners. There are important assumptions and uncertainties with these estimates which the user needs to take into consideration and can be found at Annex 1.

## Who did this work?

The Livestock Demographic Data Groups (LDDG) were formed in January 2014 and are made up of APHA representatives from data, epidemiology, species expert and GIS work groups. The LDDGs are grateful to Defra, Welsh Government, Scottish Government, IBM and APHA Weybridge DSG staff who handled the Sheep and Goat Inventory data and APHA Rapid Analysis and Detection of Animal Related Risks (RADAR) data warehouse for their assistance in producing this report.

## What do the data show about the population?

The maps (Figures 1 and 2) show either the density of animals, with a small map to show how this compares with the density of holdings, or vice versa. The maps reflect the common understanding of the population, in comparison with previous years, and show that goat holdings in GB are diffusely spread across England and Wales with focal areas of greater goat holding density in the south-west, south-east and western parts of England and in south Wales. There are relatively few goat holdings in Scotland. There are a few focal areas of high goat population density in the south west, midlands and northern parts of England and these are likely to be relatively few, but very large dairy goat units. Outside of these focal areas the density is below one goat per km<sup>2</sup>.

## How accurate are the data?

The Sheep and Goat Inventory (Data from December 2016 for England and January 2017 for Wales and Scotland) holds information about the location and numbers of animals of goat holdings in GB. All the data described in the quality statement has been represented by Figures 1 and 2, this data is approximately 79.5% of all registered holdings requested for a return of the inventory survey. The characteristics of the 20.5% of non-responders is unknown and the effect of these missing data has not been evaluated.

It is not expected that the fact that England's data is taken a month earlier (December) than Scotland and Wales (January) would have any effect of the shape of the map. The supporting quality statement provides further detail on the limitations in the data (Annex 1).

## What do the data not show?

The presentation of the data in the figures does not provide precise information on the variations in goat population density outside but this could be addressed with further refinement of mapping parameters.

There is uncertainty inherent in the information displayed. Limitations in the dataset are discussed in the supporting quality statement (Annex 1) and it is important that the user considers these in the context of their work. Population and holding density maps are classified to different scales and units and due care must be taken regarding their interpretation.

## How were the maps produced?

The maps have been created using the kernel density function in ArcGIS software. This tool distributes population information over a defined radius, creating a smooth density surface. Two key parameters that require adjustment are the search radius distance (15km radius for the maps presented within this report) and the size of the output surface grid. Discussion at the LDDG meetings informed these criteria, and their selection is recognised as a subjective process<sup>1</sup>. A search radius of 15km was deemed sufficient to enable distinction between categories and a 1km grid square was used for the density surfaces themselves. The classification bins were limited to six, to aide in cross referencing areas of the map to the key.


Comparison between the maps was optimised by assigning similar parameters between the species. However, further refinement of the parameters for each dataset could represent the information more accurately. Note that the ArcGIS Kernel Density tool does not take into account edge effects<sup>2</sup>, and as such density estimates in and around coastal areas may be under estimated.

---


<sup>1</sup> Pfeiffer, D. Spatial Analysis in Epidemiology, 2008. p47.

<sup>2</sup> [https://www.e-education.psu.edu/geog586/15\\_p15.html](https://www.e-education.psu.edu/geog586/15_p15.html)

Figure 1: Goat population density in GB (Sheep and Goat Inventory)



**Figure 2: Goat holding density in GB (Sheep and Goat Inventory)**



# Annex 1: Data quality statement for goats (March 2018)

## Introduction

This data quality statement provides an overview of the quality of the data used to underpin the kernel density holding and livestock maps. This statement is written in the context of the data being used to provide an overview of the livestock demographics within Great Britain. The statement may not necessarily relate to data quality for other purposes.

## Overview of source data used

Data were supplied by the Data Systems Group (DSG), APHA, Weybridge and sourced from the Sheep and Goat Inventory via the Rapid Analysis and Detection of Animal-related Risks (RADAR) data warehouse.

Sheep and Goat inventory (via RADAR) was chosen to represent the goat data as this has the most inclusive coverage on holdings across GB. This dataset records the number of sheep and goats kept on all registered individual premises and the purpose they are kept for e.g. meat, wool, dairy etc. The inventory data is collected once a year (1st December for England and 1st January for Scotland and Wales) by means of questionnaire cards which are sent to every registered sheep and goat holding in GB. This gives a winter count of the population. There is approximately an 81% response rate.

## Overview and purpose of the source data

The Sheep and Goat Inventory has records of the number of sheep and goats kept on individual premises and the purpose for which they are kept, for example, meat, wool and dairy.

<b>Category</b> <i>[definition]</i>	<b>Quality description</b>
<b>Relevance of data</b>  <i>[degree to which data meets user needs in terms of currency,</i>	<b>Spatial coverage</b>  The data cover GB (England, Scotland and Wales).  <b>Temporal coverage</b>  The data presented are for December 1 <sup>st</sup> 2016 (England) and January 1 <sup>st</sup> 2017 (Scotland and Wales). The data were accessed



<p><i>geographical coverage, content and detail]</i></p>	<p>in September 2017.</p> <p><b>Key data items available</b></p> <p>The dataset includes species (sheep or goat) and number of animals on holding, purpose of holding, CPH, name and address of keeper/holding.</p>
<p><b>Timeliness</b></p> <p><i>[the degree to which data represent reality from the required time point]</i></p>	<p><b>How often are the data collected?</b></p> <p>The data are collected annually on December 1<sup>st</sup> for England and January 1<sup>st</sup> for Scotland and Wales.</p> <p><b>When does the data become available?</b></p> <p>The data are available and uploaded into RADAR about 6 months after the survey is collected by the Defra Statistics Team based in York ('York Stats').</p> <p><b>Data reference period?</b></p> <p>The data are a snapshot at the date of the survey.</p> <p><b>How often are the data updated?</b></p> <p>Data are updated annually.</p>
<p><b>Accuracy and precision</b></p> <p><i>[extent of data error and bias and how well data portrays reality]</i></p>	<p><b>How were the data collected?</b></p> <p>Inventory cards are sent once a year by post to all holdings that registered a sheep or goat and asked to be returned.</p> <p><b>Sample &amp; collection size</b></p> <p>All holdings registered with a sheep or a goat are sent a form and is intended as a full census. It is not known how many eligible holdings have not registered. The sample size includes all holdings that have returned the inventory form.</p> <p>Further information on rules for registering a holding with a sheep or goat:</p> <p><a href="https://www.gov.uk/sheep-and-goats-identification-registration-and-movement">https://www.gov.uk/sheep-and-goats-identification-registration-and-movement</a></p> <p><b>What steps have been taken to minimise processing errors?</b></p> <p>Further investigation is required to identify what work has been</p>

	<p>done to review the accuracy of the Welsh and Scottish data. The data collected through the English survey is subject to manual validation to check the data accuracy. Not all data that fails validation can be corrected/confirmed as the survey team cannot always get hold of the keeper. There are a number of different errors that can be detected such as incorrect flock number, to illegible forms. The team check every form and verify the information where possible.</p> <p><b>What are the response rates?</b></p> <p>79-84% response rate for surveys between 2013 and 2015.</p> <p><b>Are any parts of the population unaccounted for in the data collection?</b></p> <p>The Defra Statistics Team do not receive returns from around 16% of holdings surveyed. However, it is not known whether non-responders represent particular parts of the population. There may also be holdings that are not registered, and so are unknown.</p>
<p><b>Comparability</b></p> <p><i>[how well these data can be compared with data taken from the same dataset and with similar data from other sources]</i></p>	<p><b>Within dataset comparability</b></p> <p>The format and survey methods are similar between years.</p> <p><b>Other dataset comparability</b></p> <p>The data compares with the Agricultural Survey, which shows data collected in the summer. AMLS holds movement data, which can compare knowledge of holding locations and relative sizes. However, both datasets have a difference in data capture, as does data held within SAM; work is ongoing to further investigate the comparability of these datasets.</p>
<p><b>Coherence</b></p> <p><i>[degree to which data can be or have been merged with other data sources]</i></p>	<p><b>How consistent are the data over time? If there are differences, what are they and what is their impact? Have there been changes to the underlying data collection?</b></p> <p>It appears data collection procedures have changed over the past years in Wales, with farmers reporting different holdings in one form. Therefore, several holdings may be recorded as one holding. Apart from this, we are unaware of any changes to the data collection that could potentially impact the representativeness of the dataset.</p> <p><b>Have any real world events impacted on the data since the</b></p>

	<p><b>previous release?</b></p> <p>Slow data entry is anticipated during notifiable exotic disease outbreaks, but this has not affected the extract chosen.</p> <p><b>What other data sources is this data comparable with?</b></p> <p>Agricultural survey for total population, Animal Movement Licensing System (AMLS) for total holdings.</p> <p><b>What other data sources in society report similar information? How do these data sources compare?</b></p> <p>Industry has their own datasets but these are obtained from, for example, the sheep and goat inventory and Agricultural Survey. It is thought they are unlikely to offer any additional information.</p>
<p><b>Interpretability</b></p> <p><i>[how well the data is understood and utilised appropriately]</i></p>	<p><b>Is there a particular context that this data needs to be considered within?</b></p> <p>The small ruminant expert group advises that seasonality in the goat population is minimal so the values provided should be stable through the year.</p> <p><b>What other information is available to help users better understand this data source?</b></p> <p>There is a metadata catalogue for RADAR available at <a href="http://ahvlaintranet/day-to-day/tools-and-applications/Pages/radar.aspx">http://ahvlaintranet/day-to-day/tools-and-applications/Pages/radar.aspx</a></p> <p><b>Are there any ambiguous or technical terms that may need further explanation?</b></p> <p>No.</p>
<p><b>Accessibility</b></p> <p><i>[availability of relevant information and access to the data in a convenient and suitable manner]</i></p>	<p><b>What data are shared and with whom?</b></p> <p>Unknown, DSG access data through RADAR or directly from York Stats, personal information cannot be published.</p> <p><b>For further information on the data sources:</b></p> <p>lddg@apha.gov.uk</p>