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Who are these reports for?

These reports are suitable for use in animal health and welfare policy work which requires an estimate of the distribution and size of the poultry population at GB level. This type of population level information is often required to assess the economic or social impact of particular animal health policies, for contingency and resource planning, or to provide evidence to trading partners. There are important assumptions and uncertainties with these estimates which the user needs to take into consideration and can be found with the Quality Statement.

Who did this work?

The Livestock Demographic Data Groups (LDDGs) were formed in January 2014 and are made up of APHA representatives from data, epidemiology, species expert and GIS groups. The work was initiated and completed between November 2018 and March 2019.

What do the data show about the population?

The maps (Figures 1 and 2) show either the density of animals, with a small map to show how this compares with the density of holdings, or vice versa. The data extract is interpreted as a snapshot of the poultry population in November 2018. The GB poultry population density map and the GB poultry holding density map correspond with the Avian Expert Group’s current opinion of the geographical distribution of the industry. The significant concerns over data quality discussed below, alongside the inability to distinguish between poultry species and production type, limit the application of the maps and tables. Our current understanding of the inaccuracy in the data restricts the interpretation to an indication of likely relative density.

How accurate are the data?

The Great Britain Poultry Register (GBPR) (now incorporated within the Sam database) contains registration information of locations and animal numbers of poultry holdings in Great Britain. Assessment of the GBPR data quality during a survey identified a significant percentage of holding records were ineligible for use in a study on avian influenza, which can be considered a proxy for percentage of inaccurate records. Eligibility varied between species, with some species, including ducks, below 12% holdings eligible, while other species were up to 71% eligible. The causes of this inaccuracy are discussed in the quality statement (Annex 1).

There is also concern regarding the number of unregistered poultry holdings. Registration with the GBPR is mandatory for holdings with greater or equal to 50 birds. We have no assessment of the number of unregistered poultry holdings, but it is possible that a high
proportion of the poultry holdings in some sectors, particularly smallholder and backyard poultry, are under-represented, particularly in the absence of a mechanism for regular updating of the numbers. For further information please refer to the Data Quality Statement in Annex 1.

What do the data not show?

The data and maps presented here do not show the seasonal variations the population undergoes across the year, instead these data represent the maximum capacity of the holdings. Although the dataset contains information regarding species, rearing method and production purpose, the diverse nature of the poultry industry was too complex to represent in the maps, however we do recognize that it would be useful to produce maps by species, for example chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese, gamebirds. In addition, this dataset does not include details of wild birds or birds in zoological collections.

There is significant uncertainty in the accuracy of the information displayed. Limitations in the dataset are discussed in the supporting quality statement (Annex 1). The creation of maps from incomplete data results in a high risk of incomplete and or misleading information being portrayed. Similarly population and holding density maps are classified to different scales and units and due care must be taken regarding their interpretation.

How were the maps produced?

The maps have been created using the kernel density function in ArcGIS software. This tool distributes population information over a defined radius, creating a smooth density surface. Two key parameters that require adjustment are the search radius distance and the size of the output surface grid. Discussion at the LDDG meetings informed these criteria, and their selection is recognised as a subjective process\(^1\). A search radius of 20km was deemed sufficient to enable distinction between categories and a 1km grid square was used for the density surfaces themselves. The classification bins were limited to six, to aide in cross referencing areas of the map to the key. Comparison between the maps was optimised by assigning similar parameters between the species. However, further refinement of the parameters for each species dataset could represent the information more accurately.

\(^1\) Pfieffer, D. Spatial Analysis in Epidemiology, 2008, p47.
Figure 1: Poultry population density
Figure 2: Poultry holding density
Annex 1: Data quality statement for poultry (March 2019)

Introduction

This statement provides an overview of the quality of the data used to underpin the kernel density holding and livestock maps. This statement is written in the context of the data being used to provide an overview of the livestock demographics within Great Britain. The statement may not necessarily relate to data quality for other purposes.

Overview and purpose of the source data

The source data is from APHA’s Sam database as at November 2018. The dataset holds information from registration of poultry holdings ≥50 birds. Premises with less than 50 birds are encouraged to register and so a proportion of these premises will be included within the Sam extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category (±definition)</th>
<th>Quality description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Relevance of data      | **Spatial coverage**: The data cover Great Britain.  
                         **Temporal coverage**: Registrations have been recorded in the GBPR since 2008. The data are an extract from APHA’s Sam data source which incorporated the GBPR data after it’s decommissioning in April 2013.  
                         **Key data items available**: The dataset includes information on species, rearing method, industry sector and housing type. A metadata document is available with more detailed information from APHA Data Systems Group. |
| Timeliness             | **How often are data collected?** Data were captured continuously from submissions by poultry holding owners that were received by the GBPR team since the start of the register up to its point of decommission and then subsequently by Cardiff Specialist Service Centre (SSC) for incorporation into Sam.  
                         **When do data become available?** Data are available on request from the Management Information and Data Architecture Services (MIDAS) team in Worcester. |
**Were the data updated often?** Onus with GBPR data was on the holding owner. Since its incorporation into Sam data can no longer be directly updated by the owner and so now need to go via APHA staff who will update incorrect or incomplete data when found, however there is no means whereby owners can regularly update their information. Surveys relying on Sam demographic data such as the EU Avian Influenza Survey feedback on data inaccuracies to Cardiff SSC for amendment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Accuracy and precision</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[extent of data error and bias and how well data portrays reality]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **How were the data collected?** Data entered by the Cardiff SSC from information from registration form submissions. Registration is mandatory for holdings with ≥50 birds. However examination of the Sam extract shows that about 50% of registrations are for holdings with <50 birds. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Sample &amp; collection size:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2019 data:</strong> The dataset lists 40543 records (ducks, geese, chickens, turkeys, partridges, pheasants, quail, pigeons, guinea fowl, ostriches, emus, rheas, cassowary’s &amp; avian other). Of 40543 premises, 1636 have 0 stock recorded. 18425 premises have less than 50 birds (all species).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **What steps have been taken to minimise processing errors?** Work in other projects indicate that approximately half the premises in the GBPR do not contain the poultry types as listed e.g. only 33% of premises contacted for the avian influenza survey in 2018 were theoretically eligible for sampling (some were ineligible for practical reasons such as seasonal variation in access to birds). However, due to the large number of submissions, it is not possible to take steps to minimise these errors once entered into the database. |

<p>| <strong>What are the non-reporting or non-response rates?</strong> We do not have information on non-reporting or non-response rates for holdings in Sam. The Avian Expert Group is aware of some turkey and game bird holdings keeping ≥50 birds which have not registered, but are unable to advise on the proportion of the industry that has not registered in Sam. It remains a legal requirement for flocks of 50 or more birds to be registered; this requirement has been promoted through industry sectors, and the registration forms (for keepers of both more than 50, and fewer than 50 birds) were updated in January 2019. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are any parts of the population unaccounted for in the data collection? Holdings with less than 50 birds are not required to register with Sam hence this “backyard” and “smallholding” population is not fully accounted for and information held almost certainly only represents a snapshot of this population.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Comparability** | Within dataset comparability: How comparable are the extracts at different times? Work has been undertaken by Cardiff to consolidate old GBPR data and to trace missing or incomplete Sam data, identified by the importation of the GBPR data. The LDDG recommends that the data should be kept up to date in order to maintain the data quality.  
Other dataset comparability: how do the data stored compare to data stored in other data sources? The Agricultural survey only targets holdings of over 1000 birds and last ran a full census in 2010, though detailed work has not been done by the LDDG to compare the GBPR with this dataset. |
| **Coherence** | How consistent are the data over time? If there are differences, what are they and what is their impact? This has not been accurately assessed. More recent extracts indicate the presence of a greater number of holdings but it is unknown if this is representative of the population or an artefact due to inaccurate data e.g. poultry holdings that have gone out of business may not have been removed from the database. This might be clarified if there was a mechanism for owners to update their poultry numbers on a regular basis.  
Have there been changes to the underlying data collection? We are unaware of any changes in data capture methods but do not expect any changes to be significant or impact our use of the data.  
Have any real world events impacted on the data since the previous release? How have these impacts on the data been managed? The introduction of Sam has led to a switch in April 2013 to record data in Sam instead of GBPR. The requirement of holdings to register if they hold ≥50 birds has continued with Sam.  
What other data sources in society report similar information? How do these data sources compare? Aside |
from Sam and the Agricultural survey, the Egg Marketing Inspectorate (EMI) database may hold comparable information relating to laying flocks.

| Interpretability | **Is there a particular context that these data need to be considered within:** See comments above.  
**What other information is available to help users better understand this source of data:** Further information on data held in GBPR can be obtained from Cardiff SSC who now hold the GBPR data. The MIDAS Team in Worcester can be contacted to obtain an extract of this data.  
Are there any ambiguous or technical terms that may need further explanation?  
The UK poultry population is comprised of different poultry species and production types, as follows:  
• Chickens - breeder, layer and broiler flocks.  
• Turkeys - fattener (meat-type) and breeder flocks.  
• Ducks - breeder, meat and layer flocks.  
• Geese - breeder, meat and layer flocks.  
• Feathered game classified as poultry - breeders and rearer flocks of pheasants, partridges and ducks reared for shooting.  
• Other minor poultry species including: guinea fowl, quail, pigeons reared for meat, ostriches, emus, rheas.  
Wild birds and birds in zoo collections are not included within the scope of this document; feathered gamebirds are considered ‘wild’ once released. |

| Accessibility | **What data are shared and with whom?** Data must be aggregated to at least a county level before publishing so individual farms cannot be identified (e.g. by CPH or postcode). Also estimates based on less than five holdings should not be used as this would breach confidentiality. A confidentiality agreement is required for data that is not publically available. Sam data can be obtained from APHA Cardiff SSC. APHA Weybridge Data Systems Group has a copy of the final extract. |
Contact details for data source queries:
customerregistration@apha.gov.uk

Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA)
Cardiff Specialist Service Centre
Poultry Data Team
Government Buildings
66 Ty Glas Road
Llanishen
Cardiff
CF14 5ZB

Agricultural Survey England: Farming-statistics@defra.gov.uk

Agricultural Survey Wales: Stats.agric@wales.gov.uk

Agricultural Survey Scotland: agric.stats@scotland.gov.uk